Michelle Rizk, Associate Vice Presiden (907) 450-8187 (907) 450-8181 fax contracts, implementation of new electronic processes, and expanded use of video conferencing in lieu of travel. These efforts have successfully provided offsets in the millions of dollars to the increases in pay, benefits, and healthcare.

Educational foordability for students continues to be a top priority which goes entirely against the ratio guidance. Let year the tuition incresse (2%) was the smallest Let in over a decade and was very well received by students, parents, donors, and legislators alike. This year, the tuition increase ranges on Let was to 4%. This low rate officion increase will maintain Alaska among the loweshithe 15 western states.

UA has created evenue enhancement opportunitiles sough the UAA and UAF Offices of Intellectual Property and Commercialization hich may generate solide venue over the long term. UA has also expanded its bus2,j [(r)3(e)4(v)-10(e)4(nueTc 0.00(0 Td ( ()-4(er)a4(e)-6co)2(li)-10(

## UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA

## Operating Budget (CCS HB 65)

"It is the intent of the legislature that the University of Alaska submits a Fiscal Year 2015 budget that includes a debt service allocation or an effective alternative to achieve that goal."

The University of Alaska believes the goal of the debt service intent language is to provide the Legislature are porting framework that breaks odd bt service. The following alternative offers an effective method to achieve this goal.

UA debt service is paid from the operating funds of the allocation which incurred the debt obligation. Historically only actua(hot budgeted) debt service amounts have been recorded in expenditure line 78000 (Miscellaneous). In UA's FY2014 Management Plan, UA totaled up the budgeted amount for debt service allocation in expenditure line 78000. By using expenditure line 78000 exclusively for debt service activity, total debt service expenditures can be clearly identified.

However, edirecting debt service (actual explitures and budgets) to a separate cation would present dichotomy in that lebt service would be reported a separate llocation while other expenses, e.g. contractual services, would see ciated with anteported in the respective entity allocation, e.g. Anchorage ampus In addition, it would create additional administrative complexity to establish anique reporting framework that is in contrast to how stations are actually recorded.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding the information provided.

Sincerely, Michelle Rizk